## Dinsmore&Shohl

Holly C. Wallace 502-540-2309 holly.wallace@dinslaw.com

March 7, 2005

RECENTED

MAR 7 7 2005

PUBLIC SETVICE COMMISSION

via Hand Delivery

Ms. Beth O'Donnell
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
P. O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40601

Re: Cumberland Cellular Partnership Petition for Designation As An Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Case No. 2005-00020

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Enclosed for filing with the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky (the "Commission") is one original and ten (10) copies of Cumberland Cellular Partnership's Motion (1) to Certify the Division of the Rural Study Area of Kentucky Alltel, Inc.-London, and (2) to Vacate the Procedural Order and Cause the Case to be Submitted as Ripe for Decision in the above-styled case.

Thank you, and if you have any questions with regard to this matter, please call me.

Very truly yours,

**DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP** 

Holly C. Wallace

HCW/rk Enclosure

cc: Ron Smith (with enclosure)

96951v1 21965/1



MAR 9 7 2005

### BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of:

CUMBERLAND CELLULAR PARTNERSHIP	)
PETITION FOR DESIGNATION AS AN ELIGIBLE	) CASE NO. 2005-00020
TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER	)
IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	_)

# MOTION (1) TO CERTIFY THE DIVISION OF THE RURAL STUDY AREA OF KENTUCKY ALLTEL, INC. - LONDON, AND (2) TO VACATE THE PROCEDURAL ORDER AND CAUSE THE CASE TO BE SUBMITTED AS RIPE FOR DECISION

Cumberland Cellular Partnership ("Cumberland Cellular"), by counsel, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.207(c) and the December 16, 2004 Order of the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky (the "Commission") in Case No. 2003-00143, hereby moves the Commission (1) to certify the division of the rural study area of Kentucky Alltel, Inc. - London, and (2) to vacate the procedural order and cause the case to be submitted as ripe for decision. In support of its motions, Cumberland Cellular states as follows.

### (1) MOTION TO CERTIFY THE DIVISION OF THE RURAL STUDY AREA OF KENTUCKY ALLTEL, INC. - LONDON

On January 4, 2005, Cumberland Cellular filed its Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (the "Petition"). Cumberland Cellular specifically requested designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier ("ETC") throughout each of the Designated Areas within the Commonwealth of Kentucky, as set forth in Exhibit A to the Petition. Cumberland Cellular noted that the "Designated Areas consist of study areas of rural telephone companies that Cumberland Cellular or a related cellular telephone carrier serves in their entirety (with one exception) as well as wire centers of non-rural ILECs." (See Cumberland Cellular's Petition, p. 7.) The one rural study area that Cumberland Cellular, or a related cellular telephone

carrier, does not serve in its entirety is that of Kentucky Alltel, Inc. - London ("Kentucky Alltel"). 1

In order to accommodate commercial mobile radio service carriers, such as Cumberland Cellular, who have authorized service areas that do not match incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC") wire centers, a state commission and the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") may redefine the competitive ETC's service area along boundaries that are not identical with ILEC wire center boundaries. To do otherwise would effectively exclude wireless carriers as a class from receiving universal service support and would be contrary to the pro-competition policies articulated by the FCC and the Commission. Accordingly, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.207(c), Cumberland Cellular hereby moves the Commission to certify the division of the rural study area of Kentucky Alltel Inc. - London so that the following wire center within Kentucky Alltel's study area is deemed a separate rural study area for which Cumberland Cellular may receive ETC status: PRCYKYXA. In the alternative, Cumberland Cellular moves the Commission to redefine the study area of Kentucky Alltel, Inc. - London so that each wire center within the study area is a separate rural study area. Cumberland Cellular, however, seeks ETC designation only for the PRCYKYXA wire center.

## (2) MOTION TO VACATE THE PROCEDURAL ORDER AND CAUSE THE CASE TO BE SUBMITTED AS RIPE FOR DECISION

After filing is Petition on January 4th of this year, Cumberland Cellular served all of the ILECs serving the rural study areas and non-rural wire centers in Cumberland Cellular service area with a copy of the Petition. On January 21, 2005, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kentucky Alltel, Inc. - London has not intervened in this case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, 8839-25 (1997).

("BellSouth") moved for full intervention in the case pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 8. The Commission granted BellSouth's motion on January 31, 2005. No other entities moved to intervene.

Counsel to Cumberland Cellular has conferred with counsel to BellSouth regarding Cumberland Cellular's Petition and BellSouth's intervention. During these conversations, BellSouth, by counsel, represented to Cumberland Cellular that BellSouth does not oppose Cumberland Cellular's Petition nor intend to exercise any of its rights under the procedural order entered in this matter or under 807 KAR 5:001, Section 8 as an intervener. In addition, to the best of Cumberland Cellular's knowledge, there is no reason to believe that the Commission would need to exercise any of its rights under the procedural order in this case prior to issuing a final order. Cumberland Cellular has satisfied all of the requirements for petitioning for ETC designation, which the Commission has summarized as follows.

An ETC petition must contain the following: (1) a certification that the petitioner offers or intends to offer all services designated for support by the Commission pursuant to Section 254(c) [of the Telecommunications Act of 1996]; (2) a certification that the petitioner offers or intends to offer the supported services either using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier's services; (3) a description of how the petitioner advertises the availability of supported services and the charges therefore using media of general distribution; and (4) identification of its study area if the petitioner meets the definition of a rural telephone company pursuant to Section 3(37) of the Act, or, if the petitioner is not a rural telephone company, a detailed description of the geographic service area for which it requests an ETC designation from the Commission.<sup>4</sup>

Cumberland Cellular satisfied all of the foregoing requirements in its Petition and the attached Declaration of Ron Smith, the authorized representative of Cumberland Cellular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These conversations took place between Dorothy J. Chambers, counsel to BellSouth, and John E. Selent, counsel to Cumberland Cellular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Order, In the Matter of: Petition of NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel partners for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Kentucky, Case No. 2003-00143, December 16, 2004.

Accordingly, there is nothing to prevent the Commission from vacating the procedural order and causing the case to be submitted as ripe for decision.

In addition, the Commission should grant Cumberland Cellular's motion because it would be in the best interest of Kentucky consumers. Upon receiving designation as an ETC, Cumberland Cellular will be eligible to receive substantial funds from the Universal Service Fund that will be used exclusively for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, pursuant to Section 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.<sup>5</sup> Thus, Kentucky consumers will reap the benefits of Cumberland Cellular's increased ability to provide advanced communications services to rural Kentucky as a result of its ETC status. The sooner the Commission designates Cumberland Cellular as an ETC, the sooner Cumberland Cellular can expand its provision of improved wireless services to rural Kentucky consumers. Accordingly, the Commission should grant Cumberland Cellular's motion to vacate the procedural order and cause the case to be submitted as ripe for decision.

#### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Cumberland Cellular respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motions (1) to certify the division of the rural study area of Kentucky Alltel, Inc. - London, and (2) to vacate the procedural order and cause the case to be submitted as ripe for decision.

\_

In anticipation of the Commission granting Cumberland Cellular's Petition to receive ETC status, Cumberland Cellular hereby submits the attached certification that all high-cost support provided to Cumberland Cellular will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended as provided in 47 U.S.C. § 254(e). (See High-Cost Certification, attached as Exhibit 1.) Said certification is provided pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §§54.313 and 54.314 so that Cumberland Cellular may begin receiving high-cost support in its designated ETC areas.

Respectfully submitted,

John E Selekt
Holly C. Wallace
DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP
1400 PNC Plaza
500 West Jefferson Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
(502) 540-2300
(502) 585-2207 (facsimile)
john.selent@dinslaw.com
holly.wallace@dinslaw.com

Counsel to Cumberland Cellular Partnership

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

It is hereby certified that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was served, via United States mail, first class, postage pre-paid, this 7th day of March, on the following:

Brian Harman Alltel Kentucky, Inc. 229 Lees Valley Road Shepherdsville, Kentucky 40165

William W. Magruder
Duo County Telephone
Cooperative Corporation, Inc.
1021 W. Cumberland Avenue
Jamestown, Kentucky 42629

Steve Mowery Kentucky Alltel, Inc. - London Kentucky Alltel, Inc. - Lexington 230 Lexington Green Circle Lexington, Kentucky 40588-1650

Greg Hale Logan Telephone Cooperative, Inc. 10725 Bowling Green Road Auburn, Kentucky 42206

Forrest Wilson South Central Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. 1399 Happy Valley Road Louisville, Kentucky 40232

Steve Mowery 230 Lexington Green Circle Lexington, Kentucky 40588-1650 J. D. Tobin, Jr.
Brandenburg Telephone Company, Inc.
200 Telco Drive
Brandenburg, Kentucky 40108

F. L. Terry Highland Telephone Cooperative, Inc. 7840 Morgan County Highway Sunbright, Tennessee 37872

Michael A. Pandow Lewisport Telephone Company, Inc. 30 Pell Street Lewisport, Kentucky 42351-0439

F. Thomas Rowland North Central Telephone Cooperative, Inc. 872 Highway 52 By-Pass Lafayette, Tennessee 37083-0070

Dorothy Chambers
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
601 West Chestnut Street
Glasgow, Kentucky 42141-0159

Counsel to Curroerland Cellular Partnership

98187v1 31160/2

ς.	

### BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of:

CUMBERLAND CELLULAR PARTNERSHIP

PETITION FOR DESIGNATION AS AN ELIGIBLE

COMMUNICATIONS CARRIER IN THE

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

(Commonwealth of Kentucky)

### **HIGH-COST CERTIFICATION**

Cumberland Cellular Partnership, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §§54.313 and 54.314, hereby submits the following high-cost certification in support of its Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Kentucky (the "Petition"), and in order to begin receiving high-cost support in its designated eligible telecommunications carrier area.

I, the undersigned Ron Smith, do hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows.

- 1. I am the authorized representative of Cumberland Cellular Partnership ("Cumberland Cellular").
- 2. Cumberland Cellular filed its Petition with the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky on January 4, 2005.
- 3. All high-cost support provided to Cumberland Cellular will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, pursuant to Section 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, codified at 47 U.S.C. § 254(e).

Ron Smith

Authorized Representative Cumberland Cellular Partnership

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON	)
	)
STATE OF KENTUCKY	)
Subscribed and sworn to be March, 2005.	efore me by Ron Smith, on this day of
My Commission expires:	1/20/06
	marlese frost
	NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE AT LARGE, KY

98189v1 31160/2